

PFGE Analysis of Meat Isolates of *E. coli* O157:H7 in New Zealand

30th October 2009

Prepared as part of a New Zealand Food Safety Authority contract for scientific services

by

Angela Cornelius

A CROWN RESEARCH INSTITUTE Client Report FW09100

PFGE Analysis of Meat Isolates of *E. coli* O157:H7 in New Zealand

30th October 2009

Stephen On Food Safety Programme Leader

> Angela Cornelius Report Author

DISCLAIMER

This report or document ("the Report") is given by the Institute of Environmental Science and Research Limited ("ESR") solely for the benefit of the New Zealand Food Safety Authority ("NZFSA"), Public Health Services Providers and other Third Party Beneficiaries as defined in the Contract between ESR and the NZFSA, and is strictly subject to the conditions laid out in that Contract.

Neither ESR nor any of its employees makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for use of the Report or its contents by any other person or organisation.

CONTENTS

1.	Sum	nmary	1
2.	. Introduction		2
3.	3. Methods and Results		
3	.1.	BioNumerics Analysis of Isolates from "Investigating E. coli O157:H7 False	
		Positives" Project	2
3	.2.	Frequency and Distribution of PFGE Types Observed in Isolates from	
		"Investigating E. coli O157:H7 False Positives" Project	3
3	.3	Comparison with PulseNet USA Postings	5
4.	Con	clusions	6

Figures

Figure 1:	igure 1: XbaI and BlnI PFGE Images of Isolates from "Investigating E. coli O157:	
	False Positives" Project	3
Figure 2:	USA and NZ Isolates with the XbaI Pattern EXHX01.0074/Xb0168	6
Figure 3:	USA and NZ Isolates with the BlnI Pattern EXHA26.0585/Bl0007	6
-		

Tables

Summary of PFGE Results for Isolates from "Investigating E. coli O157:H7
False Positives" Project
Sources of Isolates with XbaI Types Observed in Isolates from "Investigating E.
coli O157:H7 False Positives" Project
Sources of Isolates with BlnI Types Observed in Isolates from "Investigating E.
coli O157:H7 False Positives" Project
Sources of Isolates with XbaI:BlnI Types Observed in Isolates from
"Investigating E. coli O157:H7 False Positives" Project4

1. Summary

Following on from the PFGE report of isolates to the end of 2008 (*PFGE Typing of Meat Isolates of E. coli O157:H7 in New Zealand*; ESR, March 2009), this report describes the results of PFGE analysis of an additional 55 *E. coli* O157:H7 isolates from meat received by ESR to 1 October 2009, and includes nine isolates associated with the AgResearch/AsureQuality "Investigating *E. coli* O157:H7 False Positives" project.

All of the isolates have been analysed by PFGE using both *Xba*I and *Bln*I. When the two PFGE types were combined 33 *Xba*I:*Bln*I types were observed.

The nine isolates from the "Investigating *E. coli* O157:H7 False Positives" project had 9 *Xba*I types, 6 *Bln*I types and 9 *Xba*I:*Bln*I types. All of these isolates were distinguishable from USA PFGE types.

The majority (92.3%) of PFGE patterns of NZ *E. coli* O157:H7 meat isolates currently available for 2009 were distinguishable from USA patterns. Only one NZ 2009 *XbaI:BlnI* combination has been reported in the PulseNet USA postings. The USA outbreak was in 2005 and had no source reported. The incidence of this *XbaI:BlnI* combination in other countries that export meat, and other foods, to the USA cannot be determined from the available data.

2. Introduction

In response to initiatives by the United States of America (USA) to further control *E. coli* O157:H7 in the USA beef supply, NZFSA and industry agreed in January 2008 to molecular-type by pulsed field gel electrophoresis (PFGE) all *E. coli* O157:H7 isolates detected under the New Zealand (NZ) *E. coli* O157:H7 monitoring programme (O157 MP), and a to provide a summary of the PFGE profiles to FSIS on a regular basis.

Following on from the PFGE report of isolates to the end of 2008 (*PFGE Typing of Meat Isolates of E. coli O157:H7 in New Zealand*; ESR, March 2009), this report describes the results of PFGE analysis of an additional 55 *E. coli* O157:H7 isolates from meat received by ESR to 1 October 2009, and includes nine isolates associated with the AgResearch/AsureQuality "Investigating *E. coli* O157:H7 False Positives" project.

3. Methods and Results

The PulseNet Aotearoa (New Zealand) E. coli Database contains 893 New Zealand E. coli O157:H7 isolates including 462 human, 311 meat, 116 animal and 4 environmental isolates. PFGE analysis carried the PulseNet was out using protocol http://www.pulsenetinternational.org/protocols/protocols.asp. All isolates have been analysed using XbaI and 662 have also been analysed using BlnI. Of these isolates, 55 were meat E coli O157:H7 isolates received by ESR in 2009 for which the PFGE analysis had been completed by the 1st October 2009. All of the 2009 isolates have been analysed using XbaI and BlnI.

The *Xba*I and *Bln*I patterns were loaded into BioNumerics 5.1 (Applied Maths, Kortrijk, Belgium) and types assigned. Similarities between patterns were calculated using the Dice coefficient with band matching parameters of 0.5% optimization and 1.5% position tolerance. Interstrain relationships were assessed by cluster analysis using the Unweighted Pair-Group with Mathematical Average (UPGMA) method. Types were primarily assigned based on BioNumerics marking the isolates as 100% similarity but these results were modified, as necessary, following visual inspection of the patterns. Assignation of strains to a common type does not imply strain identity.

A total of 24 *Xba*I types and 23 *Bln*I types were observed for the 55 meat isolates of *E. coli* O157:H7. When the two PFGE types were combined, 33 *Xba*I:*Bln*I types were observed among these isolates.

3.1. BioNumerics Analysis of Isolates from "Investigating *E. coli* O157:H7 False Positives" Project

The results for the nine isolates from the "Investigating *E. coli* O157:H7 False Positives" project are summarised in Table 1 and Figure 1.

CSC_ERL Lab No	Submitting Lab No	XbaI Type	BlnI Type
CSC_ERL09-2285	1049370-13	Xb0179	B10093
CSC_ERL09-2286	1049384-13	Xb0014	B10036
CSC_ERL09-2287	1048971-13	Xb0040	B10093
CSC_ERL09-2350	1051232-13	Xb0158	B10031
CSC_ERL09-2378	1051691-13	Xb0127	B10035
CSC_ERL09-2419	1054039-13	Xb0110	B10043
CSC_ERL09-2420	1054051-13	Xb0092	B10007
CSC_ERL09-2557	1056398-13	Xb0264	B10007
CSC_ERL09-2558	1055927-13	Xb0265	B10007

Table 1:Summary of PFGE Results for Isolates from "Investigating E. coliO157:H7 False Positives" Project

Figure 1:	XbaI and BlnI PFGE Images of Isolates from "Investigating E. coli
	O157:H7 False Positives" Project



3.2. Frequency and Distribution of PFGE Types Observed in Isolates from "Investigating <u>E. coli O157:H7 False Positives" Project</u>

The PulseNet Aotearoa (New Zealand) *E. coli* database was interrogated for isolates with the same PFGE type as these nine isolates. The results are summarised Tables 2-4.

Care must be taken when interpreting this data as matching PFGE types is evidence for, not proof of, a relationship between isolates.

XbaI Type	Number of Isolates	Sources
Xb0179	6	Human, meat
Xb0014	3	Meat
Xb0040	325*	Human, meat, animal
Xb0158	22	Human, meat
Xb0127	2	Human, meat
Xb0110	3	Human, meat
Xb0092	30	Human. meat, animal
Xb0264	1	Meat (new type)
Xb0265	2	Meat (new type)

Table 2:Sources of Isolates with XbaI Types Observed in Isolates from
"Investigating E. coli O157:H7 False Positives" Project

* most common XbaI type in NZ

Table 3:Sources of Isolates with *Bln*I Types Observed in Isolates from
"Investigating *E. coli* O157:H7 False Positives" Project

BlnI Type	Number of Isolates	Sources
B10093	129**	Human, meat, animal
B10036	11	Human, meat
Bl0031	6	Meat, animal
B10035	10	Human, meat
B10043	2	Human, meat
B10007	69	Human, meat, animal

** most common *Bln*I type in NZ

Table 4:Sources of Isolates with XbaI:BlnI Types Observed in Isolates from
"Investigating E. coli O157:H7 False Positives" Project

XbaI:BlnI Type	Number of Isolates	Sources
Xb0179:Bl0093	2	Meat
Xb0014:Bl0036	3	Meat
Xb0040:Bl0093	91***	Human, meat, animal
Xb0158:Bl0031	3	Meat
Xb0127:Bl0035	1	Meat (other isolate not typed with <i>Bln</i> I)
Xb0110:Bl0043	1	Meat (other isolates not typed with <i>Bln</i> I)
Xb0092:Bl0007	19	Human. meat, animal
Xb0264:Bl0007	1	Meat (new type)
Xb0265:Bl0007	2	Meat
ale ale de		

*** most common *Xba*I:*Bln*I type in NZ

3.3 <u>Comparison with PulseNet USA Postings</u>

Every three months, PulseNet USA posts on their secure website information on the PFGE types associated with outbreaks in the USA. Until the first quarter of 2008, the website also included a summary of the most prevalent *Xba*I types. A bundle of BioNumerics patterns for the post prominent types and those associated with outbreaks can be downloaded from the website and loaded into a local database. This information is available for viewing and downloading by all members of PulseNet International. The postings from 2003 to the end of September 2009 were thus compared with the PulseNet Aotearoa (New Zealand) *E. coli* database.

PulseNet USA pattern designations use a 10 digit code. EXH stands for *E. coli* O157:H7, X01 stands for *Xba*I, A26 stands for *Bln*I, while the final four digits are a sequential pattern number assigned in order of first submission to the database. The comparison of very similar patterns relies on a degree of individual subjectivity which change as databases get larger and different people are involved. While very small differences in patterns may be reflective of differences in genotype, they may also in some cases reflect methodological differences that can arise, particularly when isolates are analysed over many years from many labs. Outbreak investigations usually have defined time periods for comparisons (in USA, the default is a 60 day window) which makes this less of an issue.

In the comparison below we have, in several instances, identified more than one USA pattern that we report as indistinguishable from a single NZ pattern. This does not mean that they are the same, simply that we can not reliably distinguish them from one another using that single enzyme. That is one reason that two enzymes are highly recommended for all comparisons. The approach we have taken builds from the assumption that all isolates are indistinguishable, and that typing allows us to distinguish them. We have therefore a very high confidence that all isolates with different PFGE types, are in fact different due to the underlying genotype, not due to methodological issues. Isolates which remain indistinguishable can then be further interrogated using both epidemiological and laboratory approaches to evaluate the nature of these indistinguishable isolates.

The 55 NZ meat *E. coli* O157:H7 isolates currently available for 2009 were compared with all of the PulseNet USA postings available at the 1st October 2009.

All of the isolates from the "Investigating *E. coli* O157:H7 False Positives" project were distinguishable from patterns reported in the PulseNet USA postings.

Only one USA outbreak with both *Xba*I and *Bln*I types reported had a PFGE type that was indistinguishable from NZ meat *E. coli* O157:H7 isolates from 2009 (Figures 2 and 3). The outbreak (0506ml-3c), which has no source recorded, occurred in 2005 and had the *Xba*I:*Bln*I type EXHX01.0074 (Xb0168):EXHA26.0585 (Bl0007). Four (7.3%) of the NZ meat *E. coli* O157:H7 isolates currently available for 2009 had this PFGE type and they are not related geographically or temporally. The incidence of this *Xba*I:*Bln*I combination in other countries that export meat, and other foods, to the USA cannot be determined from the data available.



Figure 2: USA and NZ Isolates with the *Xba*I Pattern EXHX01.0074/Xb0168

Figure 3: USA and NZ Isolates with the *Bln*I Pattern EXHA26.0585/Bl0007



4. Conclusions

The nine meat *E. coli* O157:H7 isolates currently available for 2009 had 9 *Xba*I, 6 *Bln*I and 9 *Xba*I:*Bln*I types, all of which were distinguishable from types posted on PulseNet USA.

The majority (92.7%) of the currently available 2009 *E. coli* O157:H7 isolates from meat are distinguished from USA patterns using a combination of *Xba*I and *Bln*I restriction enzymes. Only one 2009 *Xba*I:*Bln*I combination has been reported in the PulseNet USA postings, for a 2005 outbreak in which the source has not been reported.